

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO.: 23-cr-20372-PCH-Becerra

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

PETER KAMBOLIN,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON CHANGE OF PLEA

THIS CAUSE came before the Court based upon the Change of Plea hearing conducted on October 11, 2023, the undersigned makes the following findings, and recommends that the guilty plea be accepted.

1. This Court advised Peter Kambolin (“Defendant”) of the right to have these proceedings conducted by the District Judge assigned to the case, and that this Court was conducting the change of plea hearing pursuant to an Order of Reference from the District Court. This Court further advised Defendant that the District Judge assigned to this case would be the sentencing judge and would make all findings and rulings concerning Defendant’s sentence. This Court advised Defendant that he did not have to permit the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge to conduct this hearing and could request that the change of plea hearing be conducted by the District Judge assigned to the case. Defendant, Defendant’s attorney, and the Assistant United States Attorney all consented on the record to this Court conducting the change of plea hearing.

2. This Court conducted a plea colloquy in accordance with the outline set forth in the Bench Book for District Judges, and in accordance with Fed. R. Crim. P. 11.

3. Defendant pled guilty to Count 1 of the Information. Count 1 charges Defendant

with conspiracy, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, to commit commodities fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1348(1) and (2). The Court advised Defendant that as to Count 1, there is a maximum of five (5) years imprisonment, followed by a period of supervised release of up to three (3) years, and a possible fine of up to double the gross gain or gross loss, or \$250,000.00 (whichever is greater). The Court also advised Defendant that there is a mandatory special assessment of \$100.00, and that forfeiture and restitution are applicable.

4. To set forth the factual basis for the entry of the plea, Defendant and the Government submitted a written Factual Proffer that was incorporated in the plea agreement. The Factual Proffer, also read by the Government at the hearing, established all the essential elements of the crime to which Defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant acknowledged that the Factual Proffer was accurate.

5. There is a written plea agreement which has been entered into by the parties in this case. This Court reviewed that plea agreement on the record and Defendant acknowledged that he understood the terms of the plea agreement and that he had signed the plea agreement.

6. Defendant also waived his right to appeal the sentence imposed or the manner in which it was imposed, including any restitution order, unless the government files an appeal and/or his sentence exceeds the maximum permitted by statute and/or is the result of an upward departure or a variance from the guideline range that the Court establishes at sentencing. The undersigned finds that Defendant's waiver of the right to appeal the sentence in this case as described herein is knowing and voluntary.

7. Based upon all of the foregoing and the plea colloquy conducted by this Court, the undersigned finds that Defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that

Defendant is aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that the plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of the offense.

8. Therefore, the undersigned recommends that Defendant be found to have freely and voluntarily entered a guilty plea to Count 1 of the Information filed in this case, as more particularly described herein, and that Defendant be adjudicated guilty of that offense.

9. A pre-sentence investigation report is being prepared for the District Court by the United States Probation Office.

Accordingly, it is hereby **RECOMMENDED** that Defendant's plea of guilty be accepted, that Defendant be adjudicated guilty of the offense to which he has entered his plea of guilty, and that a sentencing hearing be conducted for final disposition of this matter.

As the undersigned advised counsel, the parties must file a statement of non-objection or file written objections to this Report and Recommendation, by close of business **October 13, 2023**. Counsel did not object to this deadline. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b), Eleventh Circuit Rule 3-1, and accompanying Internal Operating Procedure 3, the parties are hereby notified that failure to object in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to challenge on appeal the District Court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

DONE AND SUBMITTED in Chambers at Miami, Florida, on October 11, 2023.



JACQUELINE BECERRA
United States Magistrate Judge